THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION No.11685 of 2006

Between:

Smt.K.Rachana.

..

PETITIONER

and

Inspector of Police,
Kukatpally Police Station and others.

..

RESPONDENTS

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

ORDER:

–

The grievance of the petitioner is that she filed a

private complaint before IX Metropolitan Magistrate, Kukatpally, Ranga Reddy District, on 13-12-2005, against the 2nd respondent under Sections 498-A and 307 IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. The learned Magistrate referred the matter to the police under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C. for investigation. So far, no action has been taken and the directions of the law have been disobeyed by the concerned incharge of the police officials.

As there is a violation of directions of the law, this court suo motu impleaded 3rd to 5th respondents as parties and directed them to file counters.

Counters have been filed stating that pursuant to the complaint referred to by IX Metropolitan Magistrate, Kukatpally, for investigation, a case has been registered in Cr.No.649 of 2006 and after completing the investigation, a final report has also been filed on 04-07-2006 and the same was taken on file as P.R.C.No.83 of 2006 on the file of IX Metropolitan Magistrate, Kukatpally.

It is further stated that there are certain lapses on the part of one Manohar Reddy, Police Constable, who has acknowledged the receipt of the case and placed the same before the table of the 1st respondent in his absence, who was on bandobust duty, and did not inform about the same to the Station House Officer. Therefore, there was a delay of four months in taking appropriate action. Accordingly, the disciplinary action has been taken against the said constable and passed proceedings, dated 05-08-2005, awarding punishment of postponement of increment by two years without

effect on his future increments and pension.

In view of the aforesaid proceedings and in view of the fact that final report has already been filed, no orders need be passed in this writ petition.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

02-02-2007

Prv